

Today's Topics:

ARRL
DAYTON !!
DAYTON '90 !! (2 msgs)
Encryption, control, other random t
help
Kenwood HT PTT Keying
Morse code, obscenity, and packet r
What is a "dweeb" and civility here.

Date: 20 Dec 89 03:18:26 GMT

From: wshb!mikebat!michaelb@uunet.uu.net (Michael R. Batchelor)

Subject: ARRL

Message-ID: <171@mikebat.UUCP>

> If the ARRL really want to encourage development of the microwave bands
> (which they say they do, and I want to believe them), then they must make
> microwave information available to the general membership, and that means
> exposure in QST.

Everybody who hates Wayne Green can flame me to death, but please do so
by e-mail.

73 has fairly regular coverage of microwave activity. It isn't the most
technical stuff out there, but it is exposure. At least the rag is trying.

--

Michael Batchelor / KA7ZNZ uunet!wshb!mikebat!michaelb
Gorbachev is certainly not a Stalinist, but he is also just as certainly
NOT a Jeffersonian democrat. We should examine his motives just as coldly
as he is examining ours. --- Richard Nixon, Time, December 18, 1989.

Date: 20 Dec 89 14:20:33 GMT

From: zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!samsung!cg-atla!raybed2!ewb@tut.cis.ohio-state.edu
(EUGENE BALINSKI)

Subject: DAYTON !!

Message-ID: <1423@raybed2.UUCP>

The individual who recommended a cross band repeater for a 220/440
link had a good idea. I will try to arrange it with my friend (KA10KQ)
to have his radio do the trick for us. The two frequencies nominated
are 223.60 (dir) and 446.6 (dir). This should take care of most interests.

BTW the person who mentioned that he had never seen a 220 radio outside of a catalogue has just been on the wrong band all these years :-)

Also for those without radios I'll try to suggest a meeting spot and time. Suggestions gladly accepted.

C U at Day-ton'
73
Gene WA1UXA

Date: 21 Dec 89 01:15:42 GMT
From: att!hriso!attdso!ssc!tad@ucbvax.Berkeley.EDU (Tad Cook)
Subject: DAYTON '90 !!
Message-ID: <332@ssc.UUCP>

I called the Hamvention office recently, and was told that they had LOST their P.O. Box that they have always used for registration. Seems that a certain DARA member forgot to pay the bill, and the box was rented to someone else. At that time they did not know what the new box number would be, or if the old one (Box 2205) could be recovered. They recommended sending in the registration to the old box, prominently addressed to DAYTON HAMVENTION TICKETS, with PLEASE FORWARD marked on the envelope.

Today in the mail I got the January CQ, and the ad in there still has P.O. Box 2205 as the address. Also in the same day's mail I received my registration back, with the yellow forwarding sticker addressed to EVERYBODY'S INC. This as then crossed out, and the whole mess was returned to me.

Anybody know what is happening here? My guess is that maybe DARA DID get the old box back, but because I had marked PLEASE FORWARD, that it got forwarded to the interim holders of the box.

73,
Tad KT7H
tad@ssc.UUCP

Date: 21 Dec 89 10:39:42 GMT
From: zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!brutus.cs.uiuc.edu!ux1.cso.uiuc.edu!
ux1.cso.uiuc.edu!phil@tut.cis.ohio-state.edu
Subject: DAYTON '90 !!
Message-ID: <30500333@ux1.cso.uiuc.edu>

Then, what someone needs to do is contact the Dayton Hamvention management

and try to arrange one of the meeting rooms for USENET.rec.ham-radio and ARPA.infohams participants.

--Phil Howard, KA9WGN--
<phil@ux1.cso.uiuc.edu>

Date: 21 Dec 89 10:40:39 GMT
From: pacific.mps.ohio-state.edu!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!brutus.cs.uiuc.edu!
ux1.cso.uiuc.edu!ux1.cso.uiuc.edu!phil@tut.cis.ohio-state.edu
Subject: Encryption, control, other random t
Message-ID: <30500332@ux1.cso.uiuc.edu>

> Paul Koning:
> > I disagree with that analysis. The ECPA says that you can listen to things
> > that are "readily accessible to the general public". Obviously that's a
> > matter of interpretation. One interpretation, which is the one I would use,
> > is that anything that can be bought for less than a thousand or two, or that
> > can be built in a reasonably equipped hamshack, is "generally accessible".
> >
>
> However reasonable your interpretation, it really doesn't matter. What
> matters is how the case would be presented to a jury of "your peers*"
> in a court of law where you would be prosecuted.
>
> Think about it in that light and you may want to change your interpretation.

It also matters how creative the legal arguments get. Unfortunately, you often have to be VERY creative, costing lots of \$\$\$s, to protect your rights. The same system also lets criminals off.

> * "your peers" generally winds up meaning citizens chosen for their
> availability and specific lack of knowlege in any pertinent area
> of your case. After having been disqualified from jury duty in
> a case where a young man flew an airplane into power lines and was
> suing the electric utility for damages, I saw that being a pilot,
> an electrical engineer and a former Naval Officer (????) totally
> disqualified me from being a juror. The little old lady who
> doesn't know three-phase electricity from a solar eclipse was who
> they kept.

I suppose there are times when trial by judge might be better. Obviously lawyers don't want experts on the jury because they can't sway them :-)

--Phil Howard, KA9WGN--
<phil@ux1.cso.uiuc.edu>

Date: 13 Dec 89 9:12
From: chris van den broeck <EHR CER3@CBNM.jrc.rtt.be>
Subject: help
Message-ID: <89-12-13-09:12:55.08:EHR CER3@CBNM.jrc.rtt.be>

Date: 20 Dec 89 16:57:57 GMT
From: pikes!udenva!isis!nyx!whester@boulder.colorado.edu (whester)
Subject: Kenwood HT PTT Keying
Message-ID: <223@nyx.UUCP>

The following response was bounced back in the mail system, so I'm
posting this to the newsgroup so it can reach the original requester...

Subject: Re: Help Needed With Kenwood TH215 2M TCVR
Newsgroups: rec.ham-radio
In-Reply-To: <19121317005068@lax.wisc.edu>

IN RESPONSE TO YOUR QUESTION ON PTT KEYING, I HAVE A KENWOOD TR-2500 AND
ITS SCHEMATIC SHOWS THAT EXTERNAL PTT IS ACTIVATED BY CONNECTING THE
"GROUND" OR RING OF THE EXTERNAL SPEAKER JACK AND THE "GROUND" OF THE
EXTERNAL MICROPHONE JACK TOGETHER.

IT SEEMS THE "GROUND" CONNECTIONS OF THESE JACKS ARE NOT AT GROUND.
I HAVE JUST VERIFIED THAT THIS CONNECTION DOES INDEED KEY THE XMIT.
REGARDS, BILL HESTER, N0LAJ, DENVER

=====

Date: 21 Dec 89 10:40:42 GMT
From: pacific.mps.ohio-state.edu!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!brutus.cs.uiuc.edu!
ux1.cso.uiuc.edu!ux1.cso.uiuc.edu!phil@tut.cis.ohio-state.edu
Subject: Morse code, obscenity, and packet r
Message-ID: <30500334@ux1.cso.uiuc.edu>

I agree. If you are the control operator of the station that is passing
USENET onto the amateur radio bands, it is YOUR responsibility in what
goes through. If profanity or business or encrypted stuff goes out over
YOUR radio, it's YOUR backside in the sling.

--Phil Howard, KA9WGN--
<phil@ux1.cso.uiuc.edu>

Date: 20 Dec 89 20:34:54 GMT
From: philmtl!philabs!ttidca!sorgatz@uunet.uu.net (Avatar)
Subject: What is a "dweeb" and civility here.
Message-ID: <8621@ttidca.TTI.COM>

In article <270@ccop1.ocpt.ccur.com> wilson@ccop1.ocpt.ccur.com (<wilson>) writes:

+
+

+Can anybody explain what a "dweeb" is? It's not listed in my

Yes Gary, FYI, 'dweeb' is an acronym for Dim-Witted Eastern Educated Boor. This precisely describes the person I was referring to. If you are offended by the tone of my posting, or the term itself, please accept my humble apology. I'm not sure you completely understand the context of my statements. The man in question claims to be an officer in an SWL club, yet his knowledge of spectrum allocations and the legality of Amateur operations in the 7.1-7.3MHz region were quite lacking. This, coupled with a series of personal insults lead me to place the chap in the aforementioned category.

+

+Let's try to be civil. Ham radio is supposed to be a
+recreation, not a religion.

I agree, but let's not be too craven regarding our right to operate in the assigned frequency bands, eh?

'73 de KB6LUY

--

-Avatar-> (aka: Erik K. Sorgatz) KB6LUY +-----+
Citicorp(+)TTI *-----> panic trap; type = N+1 *
3100 Ocean Park Blvd. Santa Monica, CA 90405 +-----+
{csun,philabs,psivax,pyramid,quad1,rdlvax,retix}!ttidca!sorgatz **

End of INFO-HAMS Digest V89 Issue #1050
